

* HW status

* Back to Quantum Mechanics

Relativity and Quantum Mechanics[Recall: Natural Units! i.e. $\hbar = c = 1$]Our Goal: wave mechanics consistent with special relativity.

- will focus on free particle

- will add EM interaction later via gauge symmetry

NOTE: "Doomed to fail!" Our postulates were based on probability!

i.e. $\langle a|a \rangle = 1 = \int_{\text{all space}} \psi^*(\vec{r}) \psi(\vec{r}) d^3r$

Reference
Feshbach & Villars

But relativity allows for "pair creation" etc.. What to do??

the Plan: Press forward, learn what we canthen fix problems w/ Quantum Fields (next class)Back to Basics

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle = H |\psi(t)\rangle$$

* Natural Units!!

$$w/ H |E_p\rangle = E_p |E_p\rangle \quad E_p = \text{Energy of free particle} \\ \text{w/ momentum } p$$

But now $E_p = + [\vec{p}^2 + m^2]^{1/2}$ the square root will be a source of trouble!

Follow your nose:

$$\begin{aligned}
 i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \vec{r} | \psi(t) \rangle &= \langle \vec{r} | H | \psi(t) \rangle \\
 &= \int d^3 p \langle \vec{r} | \vec{p} \rangle \langle \vec{p} | H | \psi(t) \rangle \\
 &= \int d^3 p \frac{e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} E_p \langle \vec{p} | \psi(t) \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int d^3 p \int d^3 r' \frac{e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} [p^2 + m^2]^{1/2} \langle \vec{p} | \vec{r}' \rangle \langle \vec{r}' | \psi(t) \rangle$$

$$\text{i.e. } i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \int d^3 r' \int d^3 p \frac{e^{i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')}}{(2\pi)^3} \left[m + \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{p^4}{8m^3} + \dots \right] \psi(\vec{r}', t)$$

ugly!! No way to make this covariant!

Klein-Gordon Equation

Different approach: Use the square of the Hamiltonian

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \psi(t) \rangle \right] = H \left[H | \psi(t) \rangle \right]$$

$$- \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} | \psi(t) \rangle = H^2 | \psi(t) \rangle = [\vec{p}^2 + m^2] | \psi(t) \rangle$$

Now do $\langle \vec{r} |$ on both sides and $\psi(\vec{r}, t) \equiv \langle \vec{r} | \psi(t) \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Leftrightarrow - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= \langle \vec{r} | [\vec{p}^2 + m^2] | \psi(t) \rangle \\
 &= [-\cancel{H^2} \nabla^2 + m^2] \psi(\vec{r}, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \underline{\underline{ \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + m^2 \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0 }} \quad \text{"Klein-Gordon Eq."}$$

Not so ugly. But it is also manifestly covariant!

Recall $\partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \vec{\nabla} \right)$

$\partial^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, -\vec{\nabla} \right)$

i.e. $\partial_\mu \partial^\mu = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \vec{\nabla}^2$

\Leftarrow K.S. Eq $\square \quad \underline{[\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2] \psi(x^\mu) = 0}$

Solution $\psi(x^\mu) = N e^{-i p_\mu x^\mu} = N e^{-i E_p t} e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}}$

where $\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \vec{\nabla}^2 + m^2 \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \left[(-i E_p)^2 - (i \vec{p})^2 + m^2 \right] \psi = 0$

i.e. $-E^2 + p^2 + m^2 = 0$

$\Leftarrow E = \pm [p^2 + m^2] = \pm E_p$

Negative Energy Solutions! (For a free particle!)

Need them! (Completeness!)

What do they mean? How can we use them??

Another Problem Consider the "conserved current"

$j^\mu = \frac{i}{2m} [\psi^* \partial^\mu \psi - (\partial^\mu \psi)^* \psi]$ Homework.

$\Leftarrow \rho(\vec{r}, t) = j^0(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{i}{2m} \left[\psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \psi \right]$

is not positive-definite! What to do???

Hints by considering a charged particle:

$$\Leftrightarrow E \rightarrow E - q\Phi \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{p} - q\vec{A} \quad \text{Remember: } e = 1$$

Think of this as "adding $q\vec{A}$ " to get eigenvalue
 i.e. $p^\mu = (E, \vec{p}) \rightarrow p^\mu - qA^\mu$

we got this from our rules
 for canonical momenta
 $A^\mu = (q\Phi, \vec{A})$

In fact A^μ is a four-vector!

i.e. Transforms under a Lorentz Transformation.

Okawan Books: this is how electromagnetism is

"built" as a covariant formulation.

"You just have to take my word for it."

How to incorporate this into

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \vec{\nabla}^2 + m^2 \right] \psi = \left[\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2 \right] \psi = 0 \quad ?$$

Think about how we got here. [Sory, not rigorous!]

$$p_\mu = (E, \vec{p}) \Rightarrow (E - q\Phi, -\vec{p} + q\vec{A}) = p_\mu - qA_\mu$$

$$\rightarrow (i\partial_t, i\vec{\nabla}) \Rightarrow (i\partial_t - q\Phi, i\vec{\nabla} + q\vec{A})$$

$$= i(\partial_t + iq\Phi, \vec{\nabla} - iq\vec{A})$$

$$= i(\partial_\mu + iqA_\mu) \equiv iD_\mu$$

"Covariant Derivative"

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{\underline{[D_\mu D^\mu + m^2] \psi(x^\alpha) = 0}}$$

"Klein-Gordon Equation of Electromagnetism"

Note that $\psi^*(x^\alpha)$ satisfies

$$[D_\mu^* D^{\mu*} + m^2] \psi^*(x^\alpha) = 0$$

$$\text{w/ } D_\mu^* = \partial_\mu - iqA_\mu = \partial_\mu + i(-q)A_\mu$$

i.e. ψ^* satisfies K.G. for $q \Rightarrow -q$! Multiparticle??

-
- "Fleeing away" before BFT settled in
 - See Ref to Feshbach & Villars (posted)
 - Last thing in this course: Klein-Gordon Field
 - But now, a little more glancing
-

The Big Problem: No positive-definite probability density!

Next Week: Dirac's Brilliant Idea (+ Consequences)

Here's a way to work with K.G. ...

K.G. is second order in time \Rightarrow Need two initial conditions

\Leftarrow Try splitting $\psi(x^\alpha)$ into two pieces:

$$\phi(t, \vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi(t, \vec{r}) + \frac{i}{m} \partial_t \psi(t, \vec{r}) \right] \quad \text{Summing up on covariance!}$$

$$\chi(t, \vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi(t, \vec{r}) - \frac{i}{m} \partial_t \psi(t, \vec{r}) \right] \quad \text{[But now only need } \phi, \chi \text{ @ } t=0 \text{]}$$

watch: $i\partial_t \phi = \frac{1}{2} \left[i\partial_t \psi - \frac{1}{m} \partial_t^2 \psi \right] \quad \left[\partial_t^2 \psi - \vec{\nabla}^2 \psi + m^2 \psi = 0 \right]$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_t \psi - \frac{1}{m} \partial_t^2 \psi + m \psi \right]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2m} \partial_t^2 \psi + \frac{1}{2} m \left[\psi + \frac{i}{m} \partial_t \psi \right] = \phi$$
$$= \phi + \chi$$

$$\Leftarrow iD_t \phi = -\frac{1}{2m} \vec{D}^2 (\phi + \chi) + m\phi$$

$$\text{Also } iD_t \chi = +\frac{1}{2m} \vec{D}^2 (\phi + \chi) - m\chi \quad (*)$$

- Accepted 1st order in time PDE's
- "Schrödinger Eq." - like HMM...

So, what about the current?

$$j^\mu = \frac{c}{2m} [\psi^* \partial^\mu \psi - (\partial^\mu \psi)^* \psi]$$

$$j^0 = \frac{c}{2m} [\psi^* D_t \psi - (D_t \psi)^* \psi]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} [\psi^* (iD_t \psi) + (iD_t \psi)^* \psi]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} [(\phi + \chi)^* \underline{(iD_t \phi + iD_t \chi)} + \underline{(iD_t \phi + iD_t \chi)^*} (\phi + \chi)]$$

Substitute from (*)

\Leftarrow the " \vec{D}^2 " terms all cancel!

$$= \phi^* \phi - \chi^* \chi \quad \underline{\text{Aha!}} \text{ maybe interpretation? Charge!?$$

\Leftarrow alas, it never worked out.

Solution: QFT!

Next weeks: Dirac's approach \Rightarrow Spin-1/2!!