The Level Set Method

MIT 16.920J / 2.097J / 6.339J

Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations

Per-Olof Persson (persson@mit.edu)

March 8, 2005

Evolving Curves and Surfaces

- Propagate curve according to speed function $\boldsymbol{v} = F\boldsymbol{n}$
- F depends on space, time, and the curve itself
- Surfaces in three dimensions



Geometry Representations

Explicit Geometry

- Parameterized boundaries



Implicit Geometry

- Boundaries given by zero level set



Explicit Techniques

- Simple approach: Represent curve explicitly by nodes $\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$ and lines
- Propagate curve by solving ODEs

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, t), \quad \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}(0) = \boldsymbol{x}_0^{(i)},$$

- Normal vector, curvature, etc by difference approximations, e.g.:

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{ds} \approx \frac{\boldsymbol{x}^{(i+1)} - \boldsymbol{x}^{(i-1)}}{2\Delta s}$$

- MATLAB Demo

Explicit Techniques - Drawbacks

- Node redistribution required, introduces errors
- No entropy solution, sharp corners handled incorrectly
- Need special treatment for topology changes
- Stability constraints for curvature dependent speed functions

Node distribution



Sharp corners



Topology changes



The Level Set Method

- Implicit geometries, evolve interface by solving PDEs
- Invented in 1988 by Osher and Sethian:
  - Stanley Osher and James A. Sethian. Fronts propagating with curvature-dependent speed: algorithms based on Hamilton-Jacobi formulations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 79(1):12–49, 1988.
- Two good introductory books:
  - James A. Sethian. *Level set methods and fast marching methods*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1999.
  - Stanley Osher and Ronald Fedkiw. *Level set methods and dynamic implicit surfaces*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

Implicit Geometries

- Represent curve by zero level set of a function, $\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$
- Special case: *Signed distance function*:
  - $|\nabla\phi| = 1$
  - $|\phi(\boldsymbol{x})|$ gives (shortest) distance from $\boldsymbol{x}$ to curve



Discretized Implicit Geometries

- Discretize implicit function ϕ on *background grid*
- Obtain $\phi(\boldsymbol{x})$ for general $\boldsymbol{x}$ by interpolation

Cartesian



Quadtree/Octree



Geometric Variables

- Normal vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ (without assuming distance function):

$$\boldsymbol{n} = \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|}$$

- Curvature (in two dimensions):

$$\kappa = \nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} = \frac{\phi_{xx}\phi_y^2 - 2\phi_y\phi_x\phi_{xy} + \phi_{yy}\phi_x^2}{(\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2)^{3/2}}.$$

- Write material parameters, etc, in terms of ϕ:

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x}) = \rho_1 + (\rho_2 - \rho_1)\theta(\phi(\boldsymbol{x}))$$

  Smooth Heaviside function θ over a few grid cells.

The Level Set Equation

- Solve convection equation to propagate $\phi = 0$ by velocities $\boldsymbol{v}$

$$\phi_t + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla\phi = 0.$$

- For $\boldsymbol{v} = F\boldsymbol{n}$, use $\boldsymbol{n} = \nabla\phi/|\nabla\phi|$ and $\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla\phi = |\nabla\phi|^2$ to obtain the *Level Set Equation*

$$\phi_t + F|\nabla\phi| = 0.$$

- Nonlinear, hyperbolic equation (Hamilton-Jacobi).

Discretization

- Use upwinded finite difference approximations for convection
- For the level set equation $\phi_t + F|\nabla\phi| = 0$:

$$\phi_{ijk}^{n+1} = \phi_{ijk}^{n} + \Delta t_1 \left(\max(F,0)\nabla_{ijk}^{+} + \min(F,0)\nabla_{ijk}^{-} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{ijk}^{+} = \big[& \max(D^{-x}\phi_{ijk}^{n},0)^2 + \min(D^{+x}\phi_{ijk}^{n},0)^2 + \\ & \max(D^{-y}\phi_{ijk}^{n},0)^2 + \min(D^{+y}\phi_{ijk}^{n},0)^2 + \\ & \max(D^{-z}\phi_{ijk}^{n},0)^2 + \min(D^{+z}\phi_{ijk}^{n},0)^2 \big]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

Discretization

and

$$\nabla^-_{ijk} = \big[\min(D^{-x}\phi^n_{ijk}, 0)^2 + \max(D^{+x}\phi^n_{ijk}, 0)^2 + \min(D^{-y}\phi^n_{ijk}, 0)^2 + \max(D^{+y}\phi^n_{ijk}, 0)^2 + \min(D^{-z}\phi^n_{ijk}, 0)^2 + \max(D^{+z}\phi^n_{ijk}, 0)^2 \big]^{1/2}.$$

- D^{-x} backward difference operator in the x-direction, etc
- For curvature dependent part of F, use central differences
- Higher order schemes available
- MATLAB Demo

Reinitialization

- Large variations in $\nabla\phi$ for general speed functions F
- Poor accuracy and performance, need smaller timesteps for stability
- *Reinitialize* by finding new ϕ with same zero level set but $|\nabla\phi| = 1$
- Different approaches:
  1. Integrate the *reinitialization equation* for a few time steps

$$\phi_t + \mathrm{sign}(\phi)(|\nabla\phi| - 1) = 0$$

  2. Compute distances from $\phi = 0$ explicitly for nodes close to boundary, use Fast Marching Method for remaining nodes

The Boundary Value Formulation

- For $F > 0$, formulate evolution by an arrival function T
- $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ gives time to reach $\boldsymbol{x}$ from initial Γ
- time * rate = distance gives the *Eikonal equation*:

$$|\nabla T| F = 1, \quad T = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

- Special case: $F = 1$ gives distance functions



The Fast Marching Method

- Discretize the Eikonal equation $|\nabla T|F = 1$ by

$$\left[\begin{array}{r} \max(D^{-x}_{ijk}T,0)^2 + \min(D^{+x}_{ijk}T,0)^2 \\ +\max(D^{-y}_{ijk}T,0)^2 + \min(D^{+y}_{ijk}T,0)^2 \\ +\max(D^{-z}_{ijk}T,0)^2 + \min(D^{+z}_{ijk}T,0)^2 \end{array}\right]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{F_{ijk}}$$

or

$$\left[\begin{array}{r} \max(D^{-x}_{ijk}T,-D^{+x}_{ijk}T,0)^2 \\ +\max(D^{-y}_{ijk}T,-D^{+y}_{ijk}T,0)^2 \\ +\max(D^{-z}_{ijk}T,-D^{+z}_{ijk}T,0)^2 \end{array}\right]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{F_{ijk}}$$

The Fast Marching Method

- Use the fact that the front propagates outward
- Tag known values and update neighboring T values (using the difference approximation)
- Pick unknown with smallest T (will not be affected by other unknowns)
- Update new neighbors and repeat until all nodes are known
- Store unknowns in priority queue, $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ performance for n nodes with heap implementation

Applications

First arrivals and shortest geodesic paths





Visibility around obstacles







Structural Vibration Control

- Consider eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta u = \lambda \rho(\boldsymbol{x}) u, \qquad x \in \Omega$$
$$u = 0, \qquad x \in \partial\Omega.$$

with

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} \rho_1 & \text{for } x \notin S \\ \rho_2 & \text{for } x \in S. \end{cases}$$



- Solve the optimization

$$\min_S \lambda_1 \text{ or } \lambda_2 \text{ subject to } \|S\| = K.$$

Structural Vibration Control

- Level set formulation by Osher and Santosa:
  - Finite difference approximations for Laplacian
  - Sparse eigenvalue solver for solutions λ_i, u_i
  - Calculate descent direction $\delta\phi = -v(\boldsymbol{x})|\nabla\phi|$ with $v(\boldsymbol{x})$ from shape sensitivity analysis
  - Find Lagrange multiplier for area constraint using Newton's method
  - Represent interface implicitly, propagate using level set method

Stress Driven Rearrangement Instabilities

- Epitaxial growth of InAs on a GaAs substrate, stress from misfit in lattices
- Quasi-static interface evolution, descent direction for elastic energy and surface energy

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} + F(\boldsymbol{x})|\nabla \phi| = 0, \text{ with } F(\boldsymbol{x}) = \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{x}) - \sigma\kappa(\boldsymbol{x})$$

- Level set formulation by Persson, finite elements for the elasticity



Electron micrograph of defect-free InAs quantum dots

Stress Driven Rearrangement Instabilities

Initial Configuration



Final Configuration, $\sigma = 0.20$



Stress Driven Rearrangement Instabilities

Initial Configuration



Final Configuration, $\sigma = 0.10$



Stress Driven Rearrangement Instabilities

Initial Configuration



Final Configuration, $\sigma = 0.05$